

## **Appendix D1 – Section 1 Multi-Criteria Assessment Tables**

Table 6.1 – Evaluation of Options for Bus Facilities in Section 1 - Kimmage Road Lower

| Economy (Cost Assessment and Transport | Capital Cost   | Infrastructure Works Cost Factors  | Infrastructure Works Cost Factors   |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Assessment and Transport               |  | Higher cost requirements due to the land acquisition requirements on LKR, and associated infrastructure requirements.  | Infrastructure Works Cost Factors  Lower cost requirements since this option substantially retains existing layout.  Some localised works required to prevent rat running through |  |  |
| Economic                               |  | Land Acquisition Cost  Approx. €4 million  | Hazelbrook Road.  Land Acquisition Cost  n/a  |  |  |
| Indicators)                            | Rank   |  |   |  |  |
| Jo                                     | ourney Time Reliability<br>(Bus)                                       | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   |  |  |
|  | Rank   |  |   |  |  |
| Economy                                |  |  |   |  |  |
|  | Policy Integration   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   |  |  |
|  | Rank   |  |   |  |  |
|  | Transport Network<br>Integration                                       | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   |  |  |
| Integration                            | Rank   |  |   |  |  |
|  | Cycling Integration  | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   |  |  |
|  | Rank   |  |   |  |  |
| Tra                                    | ffic Network Integration   | Limited local traffic access diversion   | All local access traffic diverted via Sundrive Cross  |  |  |
|  | Rank   |  |   |  |  |
| Integ                                  | gration  |  |   |  |  |
| Con                                    | Key Trip Attractors<br>(Education / Health /<br>mmercial / Employment) | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   |  |  |
| Accessibility and Social               | Rank   |  |   |  |  |
| Inclusion                              | Deprived Geographic<br>Areas   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   |  |  |
|  | Rank   |  |   |  |  |
| Accessibility &                        | Social Inclusion   |  |   |  |  |
| Road Safety<br>Safety                  |  | Cyclists will be forced to share bus lanes on LKR if they have not chosen to use the complementary route to the west due to insufficient open space available to be acquired to accommodate separate facilities. | Cyclists sharing a single carriageway route with low traffic volumes as a result of the bus gates.  |  |  |
|  | Rank   |  |   |  |  |
| Sa                                     | ıfety  |  |   |  |  |
| A                                      | rchaeology & Cultural<br>Heritage                                      | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   |  |  |
|  | Rank   |  |   |  |  |
|  | Flora & Fauna /<br>Biodiversity  | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   |  |  |
|  | Rank   |  |   |  |  |
|  | Soils & Geology  Rank  | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   |  |  |
|  | Hydrology  | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   |  |  |
| Environment                            | Rank   |  |   |  |  |
|  | Landscape & Visual   | Increased road space required which will impact on residential gardens on KRL. No opportunities to improve the streetscape as a result.  | No encroachment required on any gardens, and the reduced traffic demand allows scope to improve the streetscape.  |  |  |
|  | Rank   |  |   |  |  |
|  | Air & Noise  | No changes to air and noise impacts  | Reduced noise and air impacts due to traffic dispersal away from KLR  |  |  |
|  | Rank   |  |   |  |  |
| Lí                                     | and Use and the Built<br>Environment                                   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   |  |  |
|  | Rank   |  |   |  |  |
|  | onment   |  |   |  |  |
| Enviro                                 |  | 2  |   |  |  |

Table 6.2 – Evaluation of Options for Cycling Facilities in Section 1 - Kimmage Road Lower

| Assessment<br>Criterion   | Assessment Sub-<br>Criterion  | Option A<br>Shared Road /<br>Advisory Cycle Lanes   | Option B<br>Cycle Tracks   | Option C1 Alternative Route to East   | Option C2<br>Alternative Route to<br>West  | Option D<br>Shared Road w/<br>Alternative Routes<br>East & West  |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| Economy (Cost<br>Assessment and<br>Transport<br>Economic<br>Indicators) | Capital Cost  | Infrastructure Works Cost Factors Substantially retains existing layout along KRL without any land acquisition requirements or infrastructural intervention | Infrastructure Works Cost Factors Significant works and land acquisition required on KRL to provide complete cycle segregation | Infrastructure Works Cost Factors Existing quiet street route requiring no infrastructural intervention                                       | Infrastructure Works Cost Factors Some localised works required at the Stone Boat to provide a new boardwalk link between Mount Argus and Sundrive, and at Ravensdale Park | Infrastructure Works Cost Factors Some localised works required at the Stone Boat to provide a new boardwalk link between Mount Argus and Sundrive, and at Ravensdale Park |
|   |   | Land Acquisition Cost   | Land Acquisition Cost Approx. €4 million   | Land Acquisition<br>Cost<br>n/a   | Land Acquisition<br>Cost<br>n/a  | Land Acquisition<br>Cost<br>n/a  |
|   | Rank  |   |  |   |  |  |
|   | Journey Time Reliability<br>(Bus)   | No appreciable difference between options   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options  |
|   | Rank  |   |  |   |  |  |
| 1   | Economy   |   |  |   |  |  |
|   | Policy Integration  | No appreciable difference between options   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options  |
|   | Rank  |   |  |   |  |  |
| Integration   | Transport Network<br>Integration  | No appreciable difference between options   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options  |
|   | Rank  |   |  |   |  |  |
|   | Cycling Integration   | Direct route for all cyclists. May require cyclists to cross major junctions to access.   | Direct route for all cyclists. May require cyclists to cross major junctions to access.  | Indirect route away from the main CBC. Caters predominantly for cyclists to the East and requires cyclists to cross major junctions to access | Indirect route away from the main CBC. Caters predominantly for cyclists to the West and requires cyclists to cross major junctions to access                              | Accommodates cyclists locally from all directions without the need to cross any major junctions.   |
|   | Rank  |   |  |   |  |  |
|   | Traffic Network Integration   | No appreciable difference between options   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options  |
|   | Rank  |   |  |   |  |  |
| lı  | ntegration  |   |  |   |  |  |
| Accessibility and Social Inclusion                                      | Key Trip Attractors<br>(Education / Health /<br>Commercial /<br>Employment) | No appreciable difference between options   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options  |
|   | Rank  |   |  |   |  |  |
|   | Deprived Geographic<br>Areas  | No appreciable difference between options   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options  |
|   | Rank  |   |  |   |  |  |
| Accessibility & Social Inclusion  |   |   |  |   |  |  |
| Safety  | Road Safety   | Cyclists will be required to share the road with low traffic. May require cyclists to cross major junctions to access the CBC                               | Fully segregated cycle facilities on the most direct route.  | Cyclists will be required to share the road with low traffic. Will require cyclists to cross major junctions to access the route              | Cyclists will be required to share the road with low traffic. Will require cyclists to cross major junctions to access the route   | Shared with low traffic but locally accessible without any requirement to cross major junctions to access the routes   |
|   | Rank  |   |  |   |  |  |
| Safety  |   |   |  |   |  |  |
| Environment   | Archaeology & Cultural<br>Heritage  | No appreciable difference between options   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options  |
|   | Rank  |   |  |   |  |  |
|   | Flora & Fauna /<br>Biodiversity   | No appreciable difference between options   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options  |
|   | Rank  |   |  |   |  |  |

| Assessment<br>Criterion | Assessment Sub-<br>Criterion          | Option A<br>Shared Road /<br>Advisory Cycle Lanes  | Option B<br>Cycle Tracks  | Option C1<br>Alternative Route to<br>East  | Option C2<br>Alternative Route to<br>West  | Option D<br>Shared Road w/<br>Alternative Routes<br>East & West                            |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
|                         | Soils & Geology                       | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options  |
|                         | Rank                                  |  |   |  |  |  |
|                         | Hydrology                             | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options  |
|                         | Rank                                  |  |   |  |  |  |
|                         | Landscape & Visual                    | No encroachment required on any gardens, and the reduced traffic demand allows scope to improve the streetscape. | Increased road space required which will impact on residential gardens on KRL. No opportunities to improve the streetscape as a result. | No encroachment required on any gardens, and the reduced traffic demand allows scope to improve the streetscape. | No encroachment required on any gardens however there will be limited impact at Stone Boat | No encroachment required on any gardens however there will be limited impact at Stone Boat |
|                         | Rank                                  |  |   |  |  |  |
|                         | Air & Noise                           | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options  |
|                         | Rank                                  |  |   |  |  |  |
|                         | Land Use and the Built<br>Environment | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options   | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options  | No appreciable difference between options  |
|                         | Rank                                  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Er                      | Environment                           |  |   |  |  |  |
|                         | Preference Rank                       | 2  | 5   | 3  | 4  | 1  |